



**Australian Government**  
**Department of Health and Ageing**



Australia and New Zealand Horizon Scanning Network

**ANZHSN**

AN INITIATIVE OF THE NATIONAL, STATE AND  
TERRITORY GOVERNMENTS OF AUSTRALIA  
AND THE GOVERNMENT OF NEW ZEALAND

## **Horizon scanning technology prioritising summary**

# **Robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy**

**November 2009**



**ASERNIP/S**

**Australian  
Safety  
and Efficacy  
Register  
of New  
Interventional  
Procedures -  
Surgical**



**Royal Australasian  
College of Surgeons**

© Commonwealth of Australia 2009

ISBN

Publications Approval Number:

This work is copyright. You may download, display, print and reproduce this material in unaltered form only (retaining this notice) for your personal, non-commercial use or use within your organisation. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, all other rights are reserved. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to Commonwealth Copyright Administration, Attorney General's Department, Robert Garran Offices, National Circuit, Canberra ACT 2600 or posted at <http://www.ag.gov.au/cca>

Electronic copies can be obtained from <http://www.horizonscanning.gov.au>

Enquiries about the content of the report should be directed to:

HealthPACT Secretariat  
Department of Health and Ageing  
MDP 106  
GPO Box 9848  
Canberra ACT 2606  
AUSTRALIA

**DISCLAIMER:** This report is based on information available at the time of research cannot be expected to cover any developments arising from subsequent improvements health technologies. This report is based on a limited literature search and is not a definitive statement on the safety, effectiveness or cost-effectiveness of the health technology covered.

The Commonwealth does not guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of the information in this report. This report is not intended to be used as medical advice and intended to be used to diagnose, treat, cure or prevent any disease, nor should it be used therapeutic purposes or as a substitute for a health professional's advice. The Commonwealth does not accept any liability for any injury, loss or damage incurred by use of or reliance the information.

The production of this Horizon scanning prioritising summary was overseen by the Health Policy Advisory Committee on Technology (HealthPACT), a sub-committee of the Medical Services Advisory Committee (MSAC). HealthPACT comprises representatives from departments in all states and territories, the Australia and New Zealand governments; and ASERNIP-S. The Australian Health Ministers' Advisory Council (AHMAC) supports HealthPACT through funding.

This Horizon scanning prioritising summary was prepared by Mr Irving Lee from the Australian Safety and Efficacy Register of New Interventional Procedures – Surgical (ASERNIP-S).

# PRIORITISING SUMMARY

---

**REGISTER ID** S000103

**NAME OF TECHNOLOGY** ROBOT-ASSISTED ENDOSCOPIC THYROIDECTOMY

**PURPOSE AND TARGET GROUP** PATIENTS WITH CANCEROUS OR BENIGN THYROID DISEASE

## STAGE OF DEVELOPMENT (IN AUSTRALIA)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yet to emerge | <input type="checkbox"/> Established  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Experimental             | <input type="checkbox"/> Established <i>but</i> changed indication or modification of technique |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Investigational          | <input type="checkbox"/> Should be taken out of use   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nearly established       |   |

## AUSTRALIAN THERAPEUTIC GOODS ADMINISTRATION APPROVAL

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | ARTG number: 97348 (Class IIb) <sup>1</sup> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No             |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable |   |

## INTERNATIONAL UTILISATION

COUNTRY	LEVEL OF USE		
	Trials Underway or Completed	Limited Use	Widely Diffused
United States	✓		
South Korea	✓		

## IMPACT SUMMARY

It has been suggested that robot-assisted thyroidectomy addresses the limitations of conventional endoscopic surgery, as it allows for haptic feedback, three-dimensional optics and utilises flexible endoscopic instruments. This is expected to improve patient outcomes while simultaneously reducing operator stress due to better instrumentation and ergonomics.

## BACKGROUND

---

<sup>1</sup> ARTG ID number for the Da Vinci surgical system.

Thyroidectomy is the surgical removal of all or part of the thyroid gland and is often performed to treat thyroid cancer and other conditions such as hyperthyroidism. It is a commonly performed procedure and has a low morbidity when conducted by skilled surgical teams (Manolidis et al 2001). Recent advances in surgical techniques have led to the development of various minimally invasive approaches, with the main aim of improving cosmetic outcomes. Since the use of endoscopy for neck surgery was first performed by Gagner in 1996, various endoscopic techniques have been introduced. Furthermore, there is strong patient preference for scarless neck procedures, particularly due to the fact that thyroid disease is more prevalent in women (Shimizu et al 2006, Ikeda et al 2000). Recent research has demonstrated that endoscopic thyroidectomy is as an acceptable technique for the excision of benign thyroid tumours (Kang et al 2009a). In addition, the feasibility of endoscopic thyroidectomy for the treatment of thyroid cancer has been investigated in a limited number of studies (Chung et al 2007, Lombardi et al 2007).

Despite the advantages of endoscopic surgery, there are some inherent limitations to this technique, including limited visualisation and difficulty with the precision of instruments. These limitations are the direct result of utilising two-dimensional images to guide the procedure and the rigidity of instruments commonly utilised for these procedures. The da Vinci® robotic surgical system was developed to address the limitations of endoscopic surgery and has been utilised in abdominal and thoracic surgery. The da Vinci system allows for operational manipulations through a three-dimensional magnified field of view, multi-articulated instruments and an ergonomic workspace. The use of the da Vinci system for endoscopic thyroidectomy did not occur until recently, due to the lack of a pre-existing workplace, relatively bulky robotic arms in deep/narrow operative fields and the hypervascularities of target organs which are surrounded by critical nerves and major vessels (Kang et al 2009b). Despite the relatively slow adoption of robotics within the field of otolaryngology, some researchers have suggested that the use of robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy may reduce morbidity, improve postoperative recovery times and achieve better cosmetic results.

#### **CLINICAL NEED AND BURDEN OF DISEASE**

A United States study conducted in 2000 reported that the overall prevalence of thyroid disease in a population of Colorado residents (health fair participants; n=25,862) was 11.7% (Canaris et al 2000). However, it is important to note that this study was conducted in a select non-randomised population group and may have overstated the prevalence of the disease. In Australia, thyroid diseases affect approximately 850,000 individuals, approximately 7.5% of women and 1.5% of men (ABC Health 2009). A large majority of these cases can be managed with medical treatment.

The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare reported a total of 3,653 separations for malignant neoplasm of the thyroid gland from 2007 to 2008. Meanwhile, data from public hospitals indicates that 8,671 total and 580 subtotal thyroidectomies were performed from 2007 to 2008 (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2009).

## **DIFFUSION**

As indicated previously, endoscopic thyroidectomy is a relatively new technique and has only recently been accepted by some clinicians as a viable procedure for the excision of benign thyroid tumours. Robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy is a recent attempt to overcome the limitations of endoscopic surgery and presumably enhance the feasibility of this surgical technique. To date, human studies on robot-assisted thyroidectomy have been conducted in the United States (Lee et al 2009) and South Korea (Kang et al 2009a, Kang et al 2009b).

The da Vinci Surgical System was first approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2000 for general laparoscopic procedures such as gall bladder removal (FDA 2005). In recent years, the system has diffused worldwide and the FDA has approved the use of the da Vinci system for a wide variety of surgical procedures, including prostatectomy, hysterectomy, myomectomy, mitral valve repair and coronary artery bypass surgery. In Australia, the da Vinci surgical robotic system is listed on the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods (ARTG) (Class IIb) and is distributed in Australia by Device Technologies Australia, P/L.

## **COMPARATORS**

The main comparator to robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy is conventional open thyroidectomy. Endoscopic thyroidectomy itself is not an established procedure and trials on its safety and effectiveness are currently ongoing. Another emerging alternative to open thyroidectomy is minimally invasive video-assisted thyroidectomy (MIVAT), a relatively new procedure that has been suggested to be safe and is thought to confer several advantages, including less postoperative pain and scarring (Sgourakis et al 2008).

## **SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS ISSUES**

### *Study description*

Our searches identified a total of 5 published papers on robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy, all of which utilised the da Vinci system. From this, a total of three papers were selected for inclusion in this summary based on quality and the size of the patient cohort. There is substantial patient overlap for two papers that were published by the same research group (Kang et al 2009a, Kang et al 2009b). However, the older study (Kang et al 2009a) will be included for discussion, as the authors presented additional data to compare outcomes with patients that underwent conventional open thyroidectomy.

The first study by Kang et al (2009a) reported on their experience with robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy from October 2007 to March 2008. During this period, a total of 100 consecutive patients (95 female; mean age:  $39.9 \pm 8.9$  years) with diagnosed thyroid papillary cancer underwent the gasless transaxillary approach performed with the da Vinci system. The outcomes of 80 of these patients were compared with historical data

of 224 patients who underwent conventional open thyroidectomy for papillary thyroid microcarcinoma. Selection criteria for tumour size required that the tumour should not be larger than 2cm when visualised with ultrasound. Patients with definite extrathyroidal tumour invasion, multiple lateral neck node metastases or perinodal infiltration of the metastatic lymph nodes or distant metastasis, were excluded. In addition, patients in whom the lesion was located in the posterior capsule area of the thyroid were also excluded, in order to prevent injury to the trachea, oesophagus or recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN). Mean follow up duration was 188.5 days (range: 120-280 days) (Kang et al 2009a).

A more recent paper by Kang et al (2009b) presented the results of 200 consecutive patients (192 female; mean age:  $40.3 \pm 9.5$  years [mean  $\pm$  standard deviation]) diagnosed with papillary thyroid carcinoma and treated with robot-assisted thyroidectomy from October 2007 to July 2008. Patients underwent robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy using a gasless transaxillary approach and were considered eligible if they had well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma and a tumour size of  $\leq 2$ cm. Patients were excluded if they had definite extrathyroidal tumour invasion, multiple lateral neck node metastases, perinodal infiltration at a metastatic lymph node, or distant metastasis or a lesion located in the thyroid dorsal area. A total of 155 patients underwent partial thyroidectomy and the remaining 45 had total thyroidectomies. Mean follow up duration was 328.3 days (range: 206-485 days) (Kang et al 2009b).

The second study was conducted by Lee et al (2009) between March and May 2008. A total of 15 patients (13 female; mean age:  $44 \pm 9$  years; range: 24-58 years) with papillary thyroid cancer underwent robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy with the bilateral axillary breast approach (BABA). All but one of these patients underwent total thyroidectomy with this technique. Patients were selected to undergo robot-assisted surgery utilising the same inclusion criteria for conventional endoscopic thyroidectomy within the author's institution: papillary thyroid cancers with low risk patients, defined by tumour size not exceeding 1cm in preoperation ultrasonography and no evidence of lateral lymph node metastasis or local invasion on preoperative ultrasonography and computed tomography (Lee et al 2009). No follow-up data beyond hospital stay was presented.

### *Safety and Effectiveness*

Kang et al (2009a) reported that compared with open thyroidectomy, patients who underwent robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy had significantly longer operation times ( $136.5 \pm 36.6$  minutes vs.  $105.5 \pm 41.6$  minutes;  $p=0.000$ ). For the robotic group, operation time consisted of working space time<sup>2</sup>, docking time<sup>3</sup> and console time<sup>4</sup>. Mean working, docking and console time were  $20.9 \pm 8.4$  minutes,  $6.8 \pm 3.1$  minutes and  $59.9 \pm 25.9$  minutes, respectively. Postoperative hospital stay was slightly shorter for the robotic

---

<sup>2</sup> Flap dissection from the axillary to anterior neck and connection of retractor to external lifting system.

<sup>3</sup> Placement of robotic arms

<sup>4</sup> Actual time for thyroid surgery

group ( $3.0 \pm 0.45$  days) relative to the open surgery group ( $3.3 \pm 1.7$  days), but did not achieve statistical significance ( $p=0.066$ ). Mean postoperative analgesic use was comparable, with  $0.75 \pm 0.82$  for the robotic group and  $0.88 \pm 0.89$  for the open surgery group. Postoperative complications within the robotic group were minor, and included one case of transient hypocalcaemia and two cases of transient hoarseness. Complications observed in patients who underwent open surgery experienced temporary hypocalcaemia (45/117, 38.5%), temporary hoarseness (5 patients, 2.2%), seroma (1 patient, 0.4%) and haematoma (2 patients, 0.9%). Patients who experienced hypocalcaemia or hoarseness recovered within 1 month and there were no cases of permanent hypocalcaemia or RLN palsy in either group (Kang et al 2009a).

Kang et al (2009b) reported that there were no conversions to open surgery and the mean total operative time was  $141.1 \pm 38.8$  minutes. Postoperative complications included transient hypocalcaemia (6%, 12 patients), transient hoarseness (4%, 8 patients), seroma (1%, 2 patients) and permanent RLN palsy (0.5%, 1 patient). The patient with RLN palsy did not recover vocal cord movement. In patients who experienced transient hypocalcaemia, serum parathyroid hormone levels reduced slightly during the immediate postoperative period (up to 7 days). In most patients, calcium and parathyroid hormone levels normalised within 1 month after surgery. The investigators reported that 38/45 patients who underwent bilateral total thyroidectomy (7 low risk patients excluded) underwent radioactive iodine (RAI) ablation 4 to 6 weeks postsurgery and iodine<sup>131</sup> whole body scans 2 days after RAI ablation. No patient had abnormal RAI uptake. At 4 months postsurgery, the investigators reported that serum thyroglobulin was  $<1$  ng/mL in 42 patients (93.3%) and was  $>1$  ng/mL in the remaining three patients (6.7%). There were no signs of local recurrence throughout the follow up period (mean: 328.3 days).

Lee et al (2009) reported that the mean operative time was  $218 \pm 28.7$  minutes (range: 155-285 minutes). The authors noted that the total operative and console time improved steadily over time. Operative blood loss was minimal, however no specific data was provided. There were no conversions to open surgery or conventional endoscopic surgery. In addition, there were no cases of complications such as bleeding, RLN injury (transient or permanent) or hypocalcaemia. Mean hospital stay was 3.5 days (range: 3-5 days). Postsurgery questionnaires indicated that patients rated the cosmetic results as excellent; however, no specific data was presented. The authors suggested that the robotic system provided good visualisation of the operative field and vital structures, which may have contributed to the low morbidity rate observed in this cohort.

## **COST IMPACT**

Despite the purported advantages of robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy, the technique may prove to be cost prohibitive when factors such as the general cost, fees of disposables and equipment maintenance are considered. In addition, the large space requirement for the da Vinci system may inhibit the adoption of this technology in certain hospitals. When compared with conventional endoscopic surgery, robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy is substantially more time-consuming. However, there is evidence that improved proficiency over time will decrease the total operative time.

The actual cost of the da Vinci system in Australia is unclear. Other studies have reported that the cost of the da Vinci Robotic Surgical System with the 4-arm system and software upgrades is approximately \$USD1.5 million (Weinstein et al 2007).

### **ETHICAL, CULTURAL OR RELIGIOUS CONSIDERATIONS**

No issues were identified from the retrieved material.

### **OTHER ISSUES**

No issues were identified from the retrieved material.

### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

The evidence available to date indicates that robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy is feasible and appears to be relatively safe. The procedure is substantially more time consuming compared with open surgery; however, there is some evidence that overall procedure time will decrease with experience. It is important to note that long-term data on recurrence rates for patients treated for thyroid cancer is lacking and most trials to date are limited to case series studies. Considering the fact that the da Vinci system is gaining acceptance within the field of otolaryngology, it is likely that more studies on this procedure will be published in the near future. Additional research on the various approaches that can be used (transaxillary, BABA etc.) is also required.

### **HEALTHPACT ACTION**

Based on the limited evidence available, robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy should be attempted in a clinical trial setting with a select patient group. Additional long-term studies are warranted, as robotic surgery continues to diffuse widely across various surgical specialties. It is recommended that robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy be monitored for 12 months for additional evidence of its long-term effectiveness.

### **NUMBER OF STUDIES INCLUDED**

Total number of studies	2
Level IV intervention evidence	3

### **REFERENCES**

ABC Health. Thyroid Disorders. Last updated 2009.  
<http://www.abc.net.au/health/library/stories/2005/06/16/1831822.htm> [Accessed October 2009].

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Hospital Data. Last update 2009.  
[http://d01.aihw.gov.au/cognos/cgi-bin/ppdscgi.exe?DC=Q&E=/AHS/proc\\_0708\\_v2](http://d01.aihw.gov.au/cognos/cgi-bin/ppdscgi.exe?DC=Q&E=/AHS/proc_0708_v2)  
[Accessed October 2009].

Canaris GJ, Manowitz NR, Mayor G, Ridgway EC. The Colorado thyroid disease prevalence study. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2000; 160(4): 526-534.

Chung YS, Choe JH, Kang KH, Kim SW, Chung KW, Park KS, Han W, Noh DY, Oh SK, Youn YK. Endoscopic thyroidectomy for thyroid malignancies: comparison with conventional open thyroidectomy. *World Journal of Surgery* 2007; 31(12): 2302-2306.

Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Computer-assisted surgery: An update. Last updated 2005. [http://www.fda.gov/fdac/features/2005/405\\_computer.html](http://www.fda.gov/fdac/features/2005/405_computer.html) [Accessed October 2009].

Ikeda Y, Takami H, Sasaki Y, Kan S, Niimi M. Endoscopic neck surgery by the axillary approach. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 2000; 191(3): 336-340.

Kang SW, Jeong JJ, Yun JS, Sung TY, Lee SC, Lee YS, Nam KH, Chang HS, Chung WY, Park CS. Robot-assisted endoscopic surgery for thyroid cancer: experience with the first 100 patients. *Surgical Endoscopy* 2009a [Epub ahead of print].

Kang SW, Jeong JJ, Nam KH, Chang HS, Chung WY, Park CS. Robot-assisted endoscopic thyroidectomy for thyroid malignancies using a gasless transaxillary approach. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 2009b; 209(2): e1-7.

Lee KE, Rao J, Youn YK. Endoscopic thyroidectomy with the da Vinci robot system using the bilateral axillary breast approach (BABA) technique: our initial experience. *Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy and Percutaneous Techniques* 2009; 19(3): e71-75.

Lombardi CP, Raffaelli M, Princi P, De Crea C, Bellantone R. Minimally invasive video-assisted functional lateral neck dissection for metastatic papillary thyroid carcinoma. *American Journal of Surgery* 2007; 193(1): 114-118.

Manolidis S, Takashima M, Kirby M, Scarlett M. Thyroid surgery: a comparison of outcomes between experts and surgeons in training. *Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery* 2001; 125(1): 30-33.

Sgourakis G, Sotiropoulos GC, Neuhäuser M, Musholt TJ, Karaliotas C, Lang H. Comparison between minimally invasive video-assisted thyroidectomy and conventional thyroidectomy: is there any evidence-based information? *Thyroid* 2008; 18(7):721-727.

Shimizu K, Akira S, Jasmi AY, Kitamura Y, Kitagawa W, Akasu H, Tanaka S. Video-assisted neck surgery: endoscopic resection of thyroid tumors with a very minimal neck wound. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 1999; 188(6): 697-703.

Weinstein GS, O'Malley BW Jr, Snyder W, Hockstein NG. Transoral robotic surgery: supraglottic partial laryngectomy. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 2007; 116(1): 19-23.

**SEARCH CRITERIA TO BE USED**

Robot\* AND thyroidectomy, Thyroidectomy/methods\*, Robotics\*.