



Australian Government
Department of Health and Ageing



Horizon Scanning Technology Prioritising Summary

Temperature controlled radiofrequency tonsil ablation (TCRF-TA)

April 2004



**Australian
Safety
and Efficacy
Register
of New
Interventional
Procedures -
Surgical**



**Royal Australasian
College of Surgeons**

© Commonwealth of Australia [2004]

This work is copyright. You may download, display, print and reproduce this material in unaltered form only (retaining this notice) for your personal, non-commercial use or use within your organisation. Apart from any use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, all other rights are reserved. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to Commonwealth Copyright Administration, Attorney General's Department, Robert Garran Offices, National Circuit, Canberra ACT 2600 or posted at <http://www.ag.gov.au/cca>

Electronic copies can be obtained from <http://www.horizonscanning.gov.au>

Enquiries about the content of the report should be directed to:

HealthPACT Secretariat
Department of Health and Ageing
MDP 106
GPO Box 9848
Canberra ACT 2606
AUSTRALIA

DISCLAIMER: This report is based on information available at the time of research and cannot be expected to cover any developments arising from subsequent improvements to health technologies. This report is based on a limited literature search and is not a definitive statement on the safety, effectiveness or cost-effectiveness of the health technology covered.

The Commonwealth does not guarantee the accuracy, currency or completeness of the information in this report. This report is not intended to be used as medical advice and it is not intended to be used to diagnose, treat, cure or prevent any disease, nor should it be used for therapeutic purposes or as a substitute for a health professional's advice. The Commonwealth does not accept any liability for any injury, loss or damage incurred by use of or reliance on the information.

The production of this Horizon scanning prioritising summary was overseen by the Health Policy Advisory Committee on Technology (HealthPACT), a sub-committee of the Medical Services Advisory Committee (MSAC). HealthPACT comprises representatives from health departments in all states and territories, the Australia and New Zealand governments; MSAC and ASERNIP-S. The Australian Health Ministers' Advisory Council (AHMAC) supports HealthPACT through funding.

This Horizon scanning prioritising summary was prepared by staff from the Australian safety and Efficacy Register of New Interventional Procedures – Surgical (ASERNIP-S).

NAME OF TECHNOLOGY:

Temperature controlled radiofrequency tonsil ablation (TCRF-TA).

PURPOSE & TARGET GROUP:

This procedure aims to treat patients with chronic tonsillitis, a condition usually addressed with tonsillectomy. It aims to reduce postoperative side effects and improve recovery time and if proven effective, it may be a viable alternative to tonsillectomy.

STAGE OF DEVELOPMENT (IN AUSTRALIA):

- Experimental
- Investigational
- Nearly established
- Established
- Established but changed indication or modification of technique
- Should be taken out of use

INTERNATIONAL UTILISATION:

COUNTRY	LEVEL OF USE		
	Trials underway	Limited use	Widely Diffused
USA	✓		

IMPACT SUMMARY**Background:**

TCRF-TA is performed for treatment of enlarged tonsils. After having this treatment, significant symptomatic improvements were noted in patients with chronic tonsillitis, including reduction in sore throat symptoms and the use of antibiotics.

This procedure is based on the application of a radiofrequency probe placed in up to eight sites in each tonsil and heated to 40-85°C for 10-15 seconds. The probe creates small channels in the tonsil, dissipating ionising energy to the surrounding tissue, causing disruption to molecular bonds. This results in subsequent tissue death days or weeks later, leading to shrinkage of the tonsils.^{1,2}

Clinical need and burden of disease:

Tonsillectomies are regarded as one of the most common surgical procedures worldwide. Over the 2001-2002 financial year there were 33 828 tonsillectomies performed in Australia (Tenant S, personal communication). The advantages of TCRF-TA are ease of the operations, faster healing, less postoperative care, minimal discomfort with the potential to decrease the risk of post-tonsillectomy haemorrhage and dehydration compared to classic tonsillectomy (cold dissection).³

Estimated speed and geographic and practitioner use patterns of diffusion in the health system:

American surgeons Kerner and Silva³ developed this new application of an existing technology in 2003 and have recently presented their findings in America (September 2003).

Existing comparators:

- cold knife dissection
- monopolar and bipolar diathermy
- cryosurgery
- suction diathermy
- bipolar scissor
- KTP-532 laser
- CO₂ laser
- ultrasonic removal
- microscopic bipolar diathermy
- radiofrequency coblation^{2,4}

Estimated cost impact:

The cost of TCRF-TA is approximately US \$2 100⁵; the surgery costs for classic tonsillectomy are approximately US \$2 500.

Efficacy and safety issues:

Short term efficacy data exist from one case series.³ A total of 85 patients were treated with TCRF-TA for chronic tonsillitis, tonsillar hypertrophy or sleep disordered breathing. Overall 91% (77/85) patients reported improvement in tonsil symptoms after a single treatment; three patients required re-treatment.

After TCRF-TA for patients with chronic tonsillitis:

- blood loss was negligible
- tonsil size reduction occurred after two weeks and continued up to nine months
- no post-treatment haemorrhages, dehydration or readmission occurred
- no complications or significant discomfort were reported
- postoperative pain medication was limited to 72 hours
- at six-month follow-up, all noted a significant reduction in the number of sore throats, use of antibiotics and snoring.

Adverse events:

- two patients experienced transient oropharyngeal blood when coughing out some sloughed tonsil tissue; this was resolved with salt water swishes
- two patients reported severe taste distortion which was resolved within six months.

Ethical issues:

Not applicable.

Cultural or religious considerations:

Not applicable.

Other issues:

- there is a small risk of regrowth of the tonsillar tissue
- the amount of tonsil reduction is unpredictable and can vary from 30-70%; some patients may require re-treatment

- patients may encounter initial swelling of the tonsils postoperatively, which would require monitoring in a critical care setting overnight, particularly in the paediatric group.²

These issues would increase the costs associated with this procedure.

Conclusion:

Limited evidence exists on the safety and efficacy of this procedure for treatment of chronic tonsillitis. Long-term safety and efficacy data from controlled trials would be required before this procedure could be routinely used.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Horizon Scanning Report | <input type="checkbox"/> Full Health Technology Assessment |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monitor | <input type="checkbox"/> Archive |

REFERENCES:

1. Plant RL. Radiofrequency treatment of tonsillar hypertrophy. *Laryngoscope* 2002; **112**(8 part 2) Suppl. 100:20-2.
2. Friedman M, LoSavio P, Ibrahim H, Ramakrishnan V. Radiofrequency tonsil reduction: safety, morbidity and efficacy. *Laryngoscope* 2003;**113**(5):882-7.
3. Radiofrequency effective and safe treatment for chronic tonsillitis. <http://www.newswise.com/p/articles/view/500936/> . Accessed October 2003.
4. Tonsillectomy procedures. <http://www.entnet.org/KidsENT>. Accessed October 2003.
5. RF tonsillar ablation. <http://www.ahfmr.ab.ca> Accessed October 2003.

SOURCES OF FURTHER INFORMATION:

Bäck L, Paloheimo M, Ylikoski J. Traditional tonsillectomy compared with bipolar Radiofrequency thermal ablation tonsillectomy in adults: A pilot study. *Archives of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery* 2001;**127**(9):1106-12.

Nelson LM. Radiofrequency treatment for obstructive tonsillar hypertrophy. *Archives of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery* 2000;**126**(6):736-40.

SEARCH CRITERIA TO BE USED:

A search of MEDLINE, PubMed and Cochrane Library, Current Controlled Trials metaRegister, UK National Research Register International, Network for Agencies for Health Technology Assessments, relevant online journals and the Internet was conducted in October 2003.

Search terms used were: ton\$ and radiofrequency, ton\$ and ablation, ton\$ and radioablation.